PhD Open Days

Impact of Freezing geometry on the cryopreservation of stem cells: <u>reducing DMSO concentration</u>

Rafaela Ouro Neves ^{1,2*}, Frederico Ferreira ^{3,4}, Andreia Duarte ^{1,2}, Vitor Geraldes ^{1,2}, Miguel A. Rodrigues ^{1,2}

¹ SmartFreez, Ed. Inovação II, Incubadora do Taguspark, Porto Salvo, Portugal, *rafaela.neves@smartfreez.com

- ² Department of Chemical Engineering, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal
- ³ The Discoveries Centre for Regenerative and Precision Medicine, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal
- ⁴ iBB-Institute for Bioengineering and Biosciences, Department of Bioengineering, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

Background

Developing efficient cryopreservation systems for freezing cells has become essential for many applications such as cell therapies, reproductive medicine and organ preservation. Most cryopreservation methods rely on high concentrations of DMSO (10% v/v) to avoid critical freezing damage. However, it is desirable to reduce DMSO concentration, because it is toxic to cells and can also cause side effects on patients once DMSO-cryopreserved cells are infused.



<u>CELL</u>

A controlled rate freezer with a bottom-up heattransfer geometry that attenuates freezing mechanical stresses.

Enables precise control of ice-nucleation and crystal growth (velocity and direction).

CELL vs Conventional Freezing on Hybridoma and UCB-derived MNCS





CELL vs Conventional Freezing on hIPS cells



0							0					
0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	10%		0%	2%	4%	6%	8%	10%
% DMSO							% DMSO					

Cell (Bottom-up Freezing) results in higher membrane integrity



For 10% DMSO, the level of expression of the CD34 marker was 3x higher when bottom-up freezing was used

- Using the CELL (Bottom-up), membrane integrity is always higher even for 1 % DMSO.
- A cooling rate of 5 sc/min shows 3x higher cell survival when using the CELL.

Understanding cell survival during freezing by means of CFD



Conventional radial freezing also leads **to higher mechanical stress (shear stress)** which can originate percolation of the liquid phase (cells) inside the ice matrix.

Conventional





0% 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 Ice growth velocity (μm/s)

Bottom-up freezing shows a uniform ice growth velocity (between 10 to 20 µm/s).

Conventional freezing causes **high supercooling**, leading to burst freezing with extreme ice growth velocities.

Conclusions

The study concludes that the CELL bottom-up freezing geometry allows to preserve cells (> 80% cell survival) with less than 4% v/v DMSO concentration. The CELL bottom-up

geometry results in an uniform ice growth velocity attenuating mechanical stresses during freezing.



Prof. Frederico Ferreira Prof Miguel Rodrigues

Chemical Engineering

phdopendays.tecnico.ulisboa.pt